

# Rothberger property and purely atomic measures

Mikhail Matveev

## Abstract

Walter Rudin showed that if  $X$  is a scattered compact space, then every regular Borel measure on  $X$  that equals zero on all one-point subsets is constant zero. We extend Rudin's result to the class of Rothberger spaces and discuss the possibility to extend it to the class of projectively Rothberger spaces.

**Address:** Department of Mathematical Sciences, George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, Fairfax, Virginia, 22030, USA

**e-mail:** misha\_matveev@hotmail.com, mmatveev@gmu.edu

**Keywords:** Rothberger space, projectively Rothberger space, scattered space, Borel measure, purely atomic measure

**AMS Subject Classification:** 54D20, 54H99

## 1 Rothberger spaces

By a space we mean a Tychonoff topological space. Recall that a space  $X$  is Rothberger (see, for example [5]) if for every sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega)$  of open covers of  $X$  one can pick  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  for all  $n$  so that  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  covers  $X$ . Since the unit interval is not Rothberger, every Rothberger space is zero-dimensional (that is, has a base consisting of clopen sets).

By a Borel measure we mean a non negative measure defined on the family of all Borel sets. For a space  $X$  we denote by  $\mathcal{T}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_X$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_X$  the topology, the family of all clopen sets of  $X$ , the family of all Borel sets of  $X$ , and the family of all  $\sigma$ -compact sets of  $X$ , respectively. Recall that a Borel measure  $\mu$  is *regular* (see —[6]) if for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X$ ,  $\mu(B) =$

$\inf_{B \subset O \in \mathcal{T}_X} \mu(O) = \sup_{B \supset H \in \mathcal{H}(X)} \mu(H)$  [6]. Recall that a space  $X$  is *scattered* if for every non empty  $Y \subset X$ , there is  $y \in Y$  such that  $y$  is isolated in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 1** (W. Rudin, [6]) *Let  $X$  be a compact scattered space and let  $\mu$  be a finite regular measure on  $X$ . If  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  for every  $x \in X$ , then  $\mu \equiv 0$ .*

It is well known (and not difficult to check) that a compact space is scattered iff it is Rothberger. We will eliminate compactness from Rudin's theorem (replacing "scattered" with "Rothberger"). First, we will prove a statement about measure-like functions defined only on clopen sets.  $\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  denotes the set of all non negative reals. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a family of subsets of  $X$ , then a function  $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$  is:

- finite if  $\mu(M) < \infty$  for every  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ;
- $\sigma$ -finite if  $X$  can be covered by countably many sets  $M_n \in \mathcal{M}$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) such that  $\mu(M_n) < \infty$  for every  $n \in \omega$ ;
- countably additive if  $\mu(\cup\{M_n : n \in \omega\}) = \sum_{n \in \omega} \mu(M_n)$  whenever  $\cup\{M_n : n \in \omega\}$ , all  $M_n$  are elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $M_n$  are pairwise disjoint.

**Proposition 2** *Let  $X$  be a Rothberger space, and let  $\mu : \mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite, countably additive function. Then there is an at most countable subset  $M_0 \subset X$  and positive reals  $m(x)$  ( $x \in M_0$ ) such that for every  $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $\mu(U) = \sum_{x \in U \cap M_0} m(x)$ .*

*Proof:* Being Rothberger,  $X$  is zero-dimensional. First, let us take a stronger assumption that  $\mu$  is finite. For  $x \in X$ , put  $m(x) = \inf\{\mu(U) : x \in U \in \mathcal{C}_X\}$ . For  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , put  $M_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : m(x) > \varepsilon\}$ .

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $M_\varepsilon$  finite. Indeed, suppose it were infinite. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , pick distinct points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M_\varepsilon$  and pairwise disjoint neighborhoods  $U_n \ni x_n$ . Put  $U_0 = X \setminus (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n)$ . Then for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\mu(U_i) \geq m(x_i) > \varepsilon$  and thus  $\mu(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mu(U_i) \geq n\varepsilon$ . So  $\mu(X) = \infty$ , a contradiction.

It follows that  $M_0$  is at most countable. A similar argument shows that  $\mu(X) \geq \sum_{x \in M_0} m(x)$  and, more generally, for every  $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $\mu(U) \geq \mu_a(U)$  where  $\mu_a(U) = \sum_{x \in M_0 \cap U} m(x)$ . Put  $\mu_r(U) = \mu(U) - \mu_a(U)$ . Then the functions  $\mu_a, \mu_r : \mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$  are finite, monotonic and  $\sigma$ -additive. We are going to show that  $\mu_r \equiv 0$  and thus  $\mu \equiv \mu_a$ .

It is easily seen that the function  $\mu_r$  has the following property: for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $\mu_r(U) < \varepsilon$ .

It follows that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon = \{U \in \mathcal{C}_X : \mu_r(U) < \varepsilon\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Suppose  $\mu_r(X) > 0$ . For  $n \in \omega$ , put  $\varepsilon_n = \mu_r(X)2^{-(n+1)}$ . Since  $X$  is Rothberger, one can pick  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon_n}$  for all  $n$  so that  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  covers  $X$ . On the other hand,  $\sum_{n \in \omega} \mu_r(U_n) < \mu_r(X)$ , a contradiction with monotonicity and countable additivity. So  $\mu_r(X) = 0$  and thus  $\mu_r \equiv 0$ .

Now, consider the general case of a  $\sigma$ -finite  $\mu$ . Let  $X = \cup_{k \in \omega} X_k$  where for all  $k$ ,  $X_k \in \mathcal{C}_X$  and  $\mu(X_k) < \infty$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that the sets  $X_k$  are pairwise disjoint. Being clopen in  $X$ ,  $X_k$  are Rothberger. Applying the previous argument to  $X_k$  and  $\mu \upharpoonright_{X_k}$  we get at most countable  $M_0^k \subset X_k$  and positive reals  $m(x)$  ( $x \in M_0 = \cup_{k \in \omega} M_0^k$ ) such that for every  $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$  with  $U \subset M_k$ ,  $\mu(U) = \sum_{x \in U \cap M_0^k} m(x)$ . Then for every  $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $\mu(U) = \sum_{k \in \omega} \mu(U \cap X_k) = \sum_{x \in U \cap M_0} m(x)$ .  $\square$

We will use the following formally weaker form of regularity: for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\mu(\{x\}) = \inf_{x \in O \in \mathcal{T}_X} \mu(O)$ .

**Theorem 3** *Let  $X$  be a Rothberger space, and let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $X$  such that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\mu(\{x\}) = \inf_{x \in O \in \mathcal{T}_X} \mu(O)$  ( $\dagger$ ). Then there is an at most countable subset  $M_0 \subset X$  such that for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X$ ,  $\mu(B) = \sum_{x \in B \cap M_0} \mu(\{x\})$ .*

*Proof:* Let for  $n \in \omega$ ,  $B_n \in \mathcal{B}_X$  so that  $\mu(B_n) < \infty$ , and  $X = \cup_{n \in \omega} B_n$ . Without loss of generality we assume that the sets  $B_n$  are pairwise disjoint. Let  $n \in \omega$ . For  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X$ , put  $\mu_n(B) = \mu(B \cap B_n)$ . Then  $\mu_n$  is a finite Borel measure on  $X$ . The restriction of  $\mu_n$  to  $\mathcal{C}_X$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2. Therefore there is an at most countable  $M_{0,n} \subset X$  and positive reals  $m_n(x)$  ( $x \in M_{0,n}$ ) such that for every  $B \in \mathcal{C}_X$ , (\*)  $\mu_n(B) = \sum_{x \in B \cap M_{0,n}} m_n(x)$ . We claim that the same is true for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X$ .

Indeed, by ( $\dagger$ ), for every  $x \in M_{0,n}$ ,  $\mu_n(\{x\}) = m_n(x)$ . For  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X$ , put  $\mu_{n,a}(B) = \sum_{x \in M_{0,n} \cap B} \mu_n(\{x\})$  and  $\mu_{n,r}(B) = \mu_n(B) - \mu_{n,a}(B)$ . By monotonicity and countable additivity of  $\mu_n$ ,  $\mu_{n,r}(B) \geq 0$  for every  $B$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 2,  $\mu_{n,r}(X) = 0$ . It follows that  $\mu_{n,r} \equiv 0$  and thus for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X$ ,  $\mu_n(B) = \sum_{x \in M_{0,n} \cap B} \mu_n(\{x\})$ .

It also follows that  $M_{0,n} \subset B_n$  and thus the sets  $M_{0,n}$  are pairwise disjoint,  $\mu(\{x\}) = \mu_n(\{x\})$  if  $x \in M_{0,n}$ , and  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  if  $x$  is not in any of  $M_{0,n}$ . Put  $M_0 = \cup_{n \in \omega} M_{0,n}$ . Then for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X$ ,  $\mu(B) = \sum_{n \in \omega} \mu(B \cap B_n) = \sum_{n \in \omega} \mu_n(B \cap B_n) = \sum_{n \in \omega} \sum_{x \in M_{0,n} \cap B \cap B_n} \mu_n(\{x\}) = \sum_{n \in \omega} \sum_{x \in M_{0,n} \cap B \cap B_n} \mu(\{x\}) = \sum_{x \in M_0 \cap B} \mu(\{x\})$ .  $\square$

## 2 Projectively Rothberger spaces

A space  $X$  is *projectively Rothberger* [4], [2] if every second countable continuous image of  $X$  is Rothberger. Theorem 3 can not be straightforwardly extended from Rothberger to projectively Rothberger spaces.

**Example 4** *A projectively Rothberger space that does not satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 3.*

Let  $\lambda$  be an (uncountable) measurable cardinal equipped with the order topology. Like any cardinal,  $\lambda$  is projectively Rothberger. On the other hand, there is a non trivial  $< \lambda$ -additive measure  $\mu : \lambda \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  for every  $x \in \lambda$ .  $\square$

(This example shows also that Rudin's theorem can't be extended from compact scattered spaces to all scattered spaces.)

One can expect, however, that some weaker form of Theorem 3 might hold for projectively Rothberger spaces.

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{M}$ . Recall that  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  is an *atom* for  $\mu$  if  $\mu(E) > 0$  and for every  $E' \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $E' \subset E$  we have either  $\mu(E') = \mu(E)$  or  $\mu(E') = 0$  [3]. A measure is *purely atomic* if every set of positive measure contains an atom; a measure is *non atomic* if it does not have atoms; every  $\sigma$ -finite measure can be written uniquely as the sum of a purely atomic measure and a non atomic measure [3]. It follows from Theorem 3 that every  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure defined on a Rothberger space is purely atomic (Borel sets containing exactly one point from  $M_0$  are atoms.)

**Question 5** *Is it true that every  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure defined on a projectively Rothberger space is purely atomic?*

It may be worth to note that Theorem 3 and Question 5 are close in spirit to the following results: a metrizable space is Rothberger iff it has absolute measure zero with respect to every metric [5]; a Tychonoff space is projectively Rothberger iff it has absolute measure zero with respect to every continuous pseudometric [2]. (A metric space  $X$  has absolute measure zero if for every sequence  $(\varepsilon_n : n \in \omega)$  of positive integers,  $X$  can be covered by subsets  $S_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$  such that for all  $n$  the diameter of  $S_n$  is less than  $\varepsilon_n$ .)

The author is grateful to Ronnie Levy for useful discussions.

## References

- [1] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Helderman Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [2] M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto, and M. Matveev, *Projective versions of selective principles*, submitted.
- [3] R. A. Johnson, *Atomic and nonatomic measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** No 3 (1970) 650-655.
- [4] Lj. D. R. Kočinac, *Selection principles and continuous images*, Cubo Math. Journ. **8** No 2 (2006), 23–31. (MR. 2007e:54030; Zbl. 1116.54013)
- [5] A. W. Miller, D. H. Fremlin *On some properties of Hurewicz, Menger and Rothberger*, Fund. Math. **129** (1988) 17-33.
- [6] W. Rudin, *Continuous functions on compact spaces without perfect subsets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957) 39-42.